#### **ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS**

# Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: M09820
Date Received: 11/29/12
Date Extracted: 12/03/12
Date Analyzed: 12/03/12
Matrix: Water
Units: ug/L (ppb)

Project: Lab ID: Data File: Instrument: Operator:

Client:

Alaskan Copper Works Metro Self Monitor, F&BI 211476

211476-01 x10 211476-01 x10.066

ICPMS1 AP

Internal Standard: Germanium

% Recovery: 80

Lower Limit: 60 Upper Limit: 125

Concentration ug/L (ppb)

 Analyte:
 ug/L (ppb

 Chromium
 303

 Nickel
 295

 Copper
 402

 Zinc
 95.6

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS**

# Analysis For Total Metals By EPA Method 200.8

Client ID: Method Blank Date Received: Not Applicable Date Extracted: 12/03/12 12/04/12 Date Analyzed: Matrix: Water Units:

ug/L (ppb)

Client: Project: Lab ID: Data File: Alaskan Copper Works

Metro Self Monitor, F&BI 211476 I2-804 mb reex

I2-804 mb reex.034 ICPMS1

Instrument: Operator: AP

Lower

Upper Limit:

Internal Standard: Germanium

Analyte:

% Recovery: 97

Limit: 60

125

Concentration ug/L (ppb)

Chromium <1 Nickel <1 Copper <1 Zinc <1

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS**

Date of Report: 12/06/12 Date Received: 11/29/12

Project: Metro Self Monitor M09820, F&BI 211476

# QUALITY ASSURANCE RESULTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF WATER SAMPLES FOR TOTAL METALS USING EPA METHOD 200.8

Laboratory Code: 211450-01 (Matrix Spike)

Analyte	Reporting Units	Spike Level	Sample Result	Percent Recovery MS	Percent Recovery MSD	Acceptance Criteria	RPD (Limit 20)
Chromium	ug/L (ppb)	20	<1	104	103	71-130	1
Nickel	ug/L (ppb)	20	<1	97	98	71-120	1
Copper	ug/L (ppb)	20	2.43	98	97	52-134	1
Zinc	ug/L (ppb)	50	5.51	98	101	51-142	3

Laboratory Code: Laboratory Control Sample

	Percent											
	Reporting	Spike	Recovery	Acceptance								
Analyte	Units	Level	LCS	Criteria								
Chromium	ug/L (ppb)	20	102	80-119								
Nickel	ug/L (ppb)	20	98	83-119								
Copper	ug/L (ppb)	20	98	81-120								
Zinc	ug/L (ppb)	50	96	82-120								

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS**

## Data Qualifiers & Definitions

- a The analyte was detected at a level less than five times the reporting limit. The RPD results may not provide reliable information on the variability of the analysis.
- A1 More than one compound of similar molecule structure was identified with equal probability.
- b The analyte was spiked at a level that was less than five times that present in the sample. Matrix spike recoveries may not be meaningful.
- ca The calibration results for this range fell outside of acceptance criteria. The value reported is an estimate.
- c The presence of the analyte indicated may be due to carryover from previous sample injections.
- d The sample was diluted. Detection limits may be raised due to dilution.
- ds The sample was diluted. Detection limits are raised due to dilution and surrogate recoveries may not be meaningful.
- dv Insufficient sample was available to achieve normal reporting limits and limits are raised accordingly.
- fb Analyte present in the blank and the sample.
- fc The compound is a common laboratory and field contaminant.
- hr The sample and duplicate were reextracted and reanalyzed. RPD results were still outside of control limits. The variability is attributed to sample inhomogeneity.
- ht Analysis performed outside the method or client-specified holding time requirement.
- ip Recovery fell outside of normal control limits. Compounds in the sample matrix interfered with the quantitation of the analyte.
- j The result is below normal reporting limits. The value reported is an estimate.
- J The internal standard associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration is an estimate.
- jl The analyte result in the laboratory control sample is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- jr The rpd result in laboratory control sample associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- js The surrogate associated with the analyte is out of control limits. The reported concentration should be considered an estimate.
- lc The presence of the compound indicated is likely due to laboratory contamination.
- L The reported concentration was generated from a library search.
- nm The analyte was not detected in one or more of the duplicate analyses. Therefore, calculation of the RPD is not applicable.
- pc The sample was received in a container not approved by the method. The value reported should be considered an estimate.
- $\operatorname{pr}$  The sample was received with incorrect preservation. The value reported should be considered an estimate.
- ve Estimated concentration calculated for an analyte response above the valid instrument calibration range. A dilution is required to obtain an accurate quantification of the analyte.
- vo The value reported fell outside the control limits established for this analyte.
- x The sample chromatographic pattern does not resemble the fuel standard used for quantitation.

<b>.</b>	iii m	i i. Lisar i	7. ·	•	<del>برد. دمان</del>	بندسين	<del></del>							,		<b>*</b>				٠	llo	<u>,                                     </u>
														M09820	Bangle ID		Phone # 206-571-6033 ####	City, State, ZIP SEATLE	628 S	Company ACASKAN	CEANO	J L
		of Va	(										,	0	Col est			E WA		g ·	1	*1
***************************************		law	1			M/marks and								11/8/2	Date		266-382-4369	78184	1	Works	Harmon	o <b>•</b> ≎ ≽
														12:30	Time		4305	78	4	des		É
		Nhan	(Jewas											Aro	Sample Type			REMARKS	METRO		(Same of ) Same	MPLE CHAIN OF CUSTORY
-		P	1	THE NAME										/	# of			şi	SELF		(digmostage g	AN OF C
		han	Para V	Ž		<i></i>									TPH-Dies				movitex			Ž S
			1	349			بصحبين		-	-	-				TPH-Gasol				t			0
.							-			-			******		BTEX by 80 VOCs by 81		ŀ		٨		U	14
_	4_	-								1					SVOCE by 8	-			2	-411		
															HFS	270		,	MORRO	•	1	E
		192	4											X	Ca Cu NE				3	}		=
	İ	4	8															1	8			1-29-
						***************************************				1					<u> </u>				<u> </u>	-		
						-	-	-	-	-	1	داده سرد به در د		-	and the second s		100		I			12
	4		-					-	<del> </del>	-	-		-		***************************************		2	15	•		37	
Complex revoluted at 10		11/2/12	"bolk	Trivil.													Will sell with destructions	SAMETLE DIMECSAL		TO THE		*
ŝ		1114	13/85	THE STATE OF											Notes		practions	TASON.	frank by:	(I	57.	15

1

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL CHEMISTS**

James E. Bruya, Ph.D. Yelena Aravkina, M.S. Bradley T. Benson, B.S. Kurt Johnson, B.S. 3012 16th Avenue West Seattle, WA 98119-2029 TEL: (206) 285-8282 e-mail: fbi@isomedia.com

December 6, 2012

Gerald Thompson, Project Manager Alaskan Copper Works PO Box 3546 Seattle, WA 98146

Dear Mr. Thompson:

Included are the results from the testing of material submitted on November 29, 2012 from the Metro Self Monitor M09820, F&BI 211476 project. There are 4 pages included in this report. Any samples that may remain are currently scheduled for disposal in 30 days. If you would like us to return your samples or arrange for long term storage at our offices, please contact us as soon as possible.

We appreciate this opportunity to be of service to you and hope you will call if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

FRIEDMAN & BRUYA, INC.

Michael Erdahl Project Manager

Enclosures ACU1206R.DOC